



**Submission of Final Marks for Masters Dissertation /
Doctoral Thesis to Higher Degrees Committee**

Faculty							
Department							
Degree							
Date of First Registration				Duration of Study			
	year	month	day		years	months	days

Student Surname		Student No	
First Names		Title (Mr, Ms)	
Title of Dissertation/ Thesis			
100% research thesis		50% course-work dissertation	

Supervisor		Title	
Co- Supervisor		Title	

Examiner 1		Title	
Position		Qualifications	
Affiliation of Examiner			

Examiner 2		Title	
Position		Qualifications	
Affiliation of Examiner			

Examiner 3		Title	
Position		Qualifications	
Affiliation of Examiner			

SUMMARY OF EXAMINERS' REPORTS

EXAMINERS' RECOMMENDATIONS (as on PG 8)		1	2	3
		Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No
Do the Examiners state that the dissertation/thesis shows knowledge and understanding of the subject?				
Do the Examiners find the presentation and the literary style adequate?				
Do the Examiners find the work adequate for the award of the qualification?				
COMPLETE SECTIONS A, B, C AND/OR D (according to what each Examiner recommends):				
A.	Do the Examiners unreservedly recommend the award of the Master's Degree or a Doctor's Degree?			
B.	Do the Examiners recommend the award subject to:			
	i) Editorial corrections (style/format/grammar/spelling)?			
	ii) Minor revision of reporting/claims/findings/recommendations?			
	iii) Minor expansion of arguments/analysis?			
C.	Do the Examiners recommend resubmission for examination?			
D.	Do the Examiners recommend outright rejection?			

HoD Recommendation to Faculty Research Committee/Higher Degrees Committee

(Please tick)

I recommend that this student should:	Pass	
	Revise and resubmit (i.e. to the relevant examiner) for examination	
	Fail	
	Pass with distinction (Masters Degree only)	
In the case of a pass, I recommend the award subject to:	Editorial corrections	
	Minor revision of reporting/claims/findings/recommendations	
	Minor expansion of arguments/analysis	
	Supervisor	
	HoD	
undertaken to the satisfaction of the:	Executive Dean	

Rationale for recommending a pass with distinction (if applicable):		Please tick
A.	Both examiners awarded a mark of 75% or above.	
OR B.	Average mark is 75% or above, but one of the two examiners awarded a mark of less than 75%.	
In the case of B. (i.e. the average mark is 75% or above), for a distinction to be awarded, the difference between the two marks must not be more than 15%.		

<i>We, the undersigned, confirm that the candidate's duration of study is (tick in relevant box):</i>	
1 year (or more) in the case of a Master's/MTech	
2 years (or more) in the case of a Doctorate/DTech	
<i>and that the student is currently registered at the date of signature.</i>	

Signed: _____ **Date:** _____
(HoD)

Signed: _____ **Date:** _____
(Chairperson: Faculty Research Committee)

Higher Degrees Committee Decision

(Please tick)

The student should:	Pass	
	Revise and resubmit for examination	
	Fail	
	Pass with distinction (Masters Degree only)	
The award is subject to:	Editorial corrections	
	Minor revision or reporting/claims/findings/recommendations	
	Minor expansion of arguments/analysis	
Undertaken to the satisfaction of:	Supervisor	
	HoD / Executive Dean	
	Examiner	

			Together with PG 8		
Routing	HoD		FRC		HDC